The Misconducts on “the CPI for Livelihood Assistance” and Inspection

There are 3 faults those make “the CPI(Consumer Price Index) for livelihood assistance” doubtful.

Based on the “deflation ” of this original index by the MHLW in Jan. 2013, they decided to lower the livelihood assistance standard.

### Fault in Comparison

- **Consumer Price Index**

![Consumer Price Index graph]

- **MHLW compared**

### Fault in Selection

- Too heavy weights to electric products
  - TVs
  - Laptop PCs
  - Desktop PCs
  - Video recorders
  - Cameras

### Fault in Method

- 2 CPIs in 2008

According to Y. Shirai(2013-2015)

### Discussion - The Association against Structural Dissociation

A Stable structure which enforces stigma and discrimination to the public assistance recipients.

- The recipients’ protests, including constitutional legislations, enforce the stigma and the discrimination more strongly because to protest against authority is considered as an evil.

- Social background

    - about statistic date: the valued a few
    - about statistic method: not yet

- Educational background

  - Statistic methods are not understood enough because people in STEM and the other people are separated during secondary education.

### Conclusion - To Reaffirm the Rights to Live

- Loose affiliation among people on public assistance, experts, politicians and journalists are unclosing misconducts by the government.
- But there is no system to suppress misconduct by the government.
  - to suppress the government not to take a part of expert knowledge to their advantage
  - to question the government’s responsibility
- It is important to study the relationship and power balance in these actors in political process to determine the public assistance standard that will cause economic effect.